arXiv:1012.3218v1 [math.AP] 15 Dec 2010

Convergence of the Dirichlet solutions of the very fast diffusion equation

Kin Ming Hui and Sunghoon Kim
Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica,
Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw, gauss79@math.sinica.edu.tw

Dec 15, 2010

Abstract

For any $-1<m<0$, $\mu>0$, $0\le u_0\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_0(x)\le(\mu_0|m||x|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ for any $|x|\ge R_0$ and some constants $R_0>1$ and $0<\mu_0\le\mu$, and f, $g\in C([0,\infty))$ such that $f(t), g(t)\ge\mu_0$ on $[0,\infty)$ we prove that as $R\to\infty$ the solution u^R of the Dirichlet problem $u_t=(u^m/m)_{xx}$ in $(-R,R)\times(0,\infty)$, $u(R,t)=(f(t)|m|R)^{1/m}$, $u(-R,t)=(g(t)|m|R)^{1/m}$ for all $t>0$, $u(x,0)=u_0(x)$ in $(-R,R)$, converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ to the solution of the equation $u_t=(u^m/m)_{xx}$ in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$, $u(x,0)=u_0(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, which satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}}u(x,t)\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0dx-\int_0^t(f(s)+g(s))\,ds$ for all $0<t<T$ where $\int_0^T(f+g)\,ds=\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0dx$. We also prove that the solution constructed is equal to the solution constructed in [Hu3] using approximation by solutions of the corresponding Neumann problem in bounded cylindrical domains.

Key words: very fast diffusion equation, Dirichlet problem, Cauchy problem, convergence, uniqueness

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B40 Secondary 35K15, 35K65

0 Introduction

The equation

$$u_t=\Delta\phi_m(u) \tag{0.1}$$

where $\phi_m(u)=u^m/m$ for $m\ne 0$ and $\phi_m(u)=\log u$ for $m=0$ arises in many physical models. For example when $m=0$ and the dimension $n=1$ P.L. Lions and G. Toscani [LT] and T. Kurtz [Ku] have shown that (0.1) arises as the diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models. When $m = 0$ and $n = 2$, the equation arises in the Ricci flow on the complete manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ [DDD], [DD], [DP], [Hs1], [W1], [W2]. When $m = -1$, the equation appears in the model of heat conduction in solid hydrogen [R].

When $m = 1$, the equation is the well known heat equation. When $0 < m < 1$, the equation is called the fast diffusion equation and when $m < 0$ the equation is called the very fast diffusion equation. We refer the reader to the survey papers of Aronson [A] and Peletier [P] and the book [V2] by J.L. Vazquez for various results on (0.1).

As observed by J.L. Vazquez [V1] the behaviour of the solution of (0.1) for $m \le 0$ is very different from the behaviour of solution of (0.1) for $m > 0$. For example when $m > 0$ and $n = 1$ the solution of (0.1) preserves the mass while for $-1 < m \le 0$ and $n = 1$ there exists finite mass solutions which vanish in a finite time [RV]. In [RV] A. Rodriguez and J.L. Vazquez by using semigroup method proved that for any $-1 < m \le 0$, $0 \le u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and any non-negative functions $f, g \in L^\infty_{loc}(0,\infty)$ there exists a smooth unique solution u for

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^{m-1}u_x)_x & \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{0.2}$$

which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - \int_0^t (f+g)\,ds \quad \forall 0 \le t < T \tag{0.3}$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} u^{m-1}u_x(x,s)\,ds = -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s)\,ds \quad \forall 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T \tag{0.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} u^{m-1}u_x(x,s)\,ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g(s)\,ds \quad \forall 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T \tag{0.5}$$

where

$$T = \sup\left\{t' > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx > \int_0^{t'} (f+g)\,ds\right\}. \tag{0.6}$$

Later K.M. Hui [Hu3] give another proof of this result by proving that the solutions of the Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta\phi_m(u), u > 0, & \text{in } (-R,R)\times(0,T) \\ (\phi_m(u))_x(-R,t) = -f(t) & \forall 0 < t < T \\ (\phi_m(u))_x(-R,t) = g(t) & \forall 0 < t < T \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } (-R,R) \end{cases}$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ to the solution of (0.2) which satisfies (0.3), (0.4), (0.5) and (0.6) as $R \to \infty$.

In this paper we will prove that for any $-1 < m < 0$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies (3.2) as $R \to \infty$ the solution u^R of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^m/m)_{xx} & \text{in } (-R,R)\times[0,\infty) \\ u(\pm R,t) = (\mu|m|R)^{\frac{1}{m}} & \forall t > 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } (-R,R) \end{cases} \tag{0.7}$$

converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ to a solution u of (0.2) which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - 2\mu t \quad \forall 0 < t < T \tag{0.8}$$

and

$$\frac{u^m(x,t)}{m|x|} \to -\mu \quad \text{uniformly in } [a,b] \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty \tag{0.9}$$

for any $0 < a < b < T$ where

$$T = \frac{1}{2\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx. \tag{0.10}$$

As a consequence by an approximation argument for any $0 \le f \in L^\infty_{loc}([0,\infty))$ we obtain another proof of the existence of solution of (0.2) which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - 2\int_0^t f\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T, \tag{0.11}$$

and

$$\frac{u^m(x,t)}{m|x|} \to -f(t) \quad \text{uniformly in } [a,b] \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty \tag{0.12}$$

for any $0 < a < b < T$ where T is given by

$$2\int_0^T f\,ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx. \tag{0.13}$$

For any f, $g \in C([0,\infty))$ such that $f(t)$, $g(t) \ge \mu_0$ on $[0,\infty)$ for some constant $\mu_0 > 0$ and $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies (3.2) we also prove that the solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^m/m)_{xx} & \text{in } (-R,R)\times[0,\infty) \\ u(R,t) = (f(t)|m|R)^{\frac{1}{m}} & \forall t > 0 \\ u(-R,t) = (g(t)|m|R)^{\frac{1}{m}} & \forall t > 0 \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } (-R,R). \end{cases} \tag{0.14}$$

converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ to a solution u of (0.2) which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - \int_0^t (f+g)\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T, \tag{0.15}$$

and

$$\frac{u^m(x,t)}{mx} \to -f(t) \quad \text{uniformly in } [a,b] \quad \text{as } x \to \infty \tag{0.16}$$

and

$$\frac{u^m(x,t)}{mx} \to g(t) \quad \text{uniformly in } [a,b] \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty \tag{0.17}$$

for any $0 < a < b < T$ where T is given by

$$\int_0^T (f+g)\,ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx. \tag{0.18}$$

as $R \to \infty$.

A natural question to ask is that whether the solution u of (0.2) which satisfies either (0.11) or (0.15) for some function f, g constructed by the Dirichlet approximation is equal to the solution of (0.2) that also satisfies either (0.11) or (0.15) constructed in [Hu3] by Neumann approximation. In this paper we answer this question in the affirmative and prove that the two solutions are equal.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section one we will construct a symmetric self-similar solution of (0.1). In section two we will prove some properties of the Green function for the Laplace operator Δ in $(-R,R)$ for any $R > 1$. In section three we will prove the convergence results of the Dirichlet solutions of (0.7) as $R \to \infty$. In section four we will prove the equality of the solutions of (0.2) constructed by the Dirichlet approximation and by the Neumann approximation. We will also prove the convergence of solutions of (0.14) as $R \to \infty$ in section four.

We start will some definitions. We will assume that $-1 < m < 0$ for the rest of the paper. For any $R > 0$ and $T > 0$ let $I_R = (-R,R)$, and $Q_R^T = I_R \times (0,T)$. For any $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(I_R)$ and $g \in L^\infty(\{\pm R\} \times [0,T))$ such that $\inf_{\{\pm R\}\times[0,T)} g > 0$, we say that u is a solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^m/m)_{xx} & \text{on } I_R \times (0,T) \\ u(\pm R,t) = g(\pm R,t) & \text{on } (0,\infty) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } I_R \end{cases}$$

if $0 < u \in C^2(Q_R^T) \cap L^\infty(Q_R)$ satisfies

$$u_t = (u^m/m)_{xx} \tag{0.19}$$

in Q_R^T with

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{I_R}\left[\left(\frac{u^m}{m}\right)\psi_{xx} + u\psi_t\right] dxds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{\partial I_R}\left(\frac{g^m}{m}\right)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu}\,d\sigma ds + \int_{I_R} u\psi\,dx\bigg|_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

for all $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$, $\psi \in C^\infty(I_R \times (0,T))$ such that $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\{\pm R\} \times (0,T)$, where $\partial/\partial\nu$ is derivative with respect to the unit outward normal ν on $\{\pm R\} \times (0,T)$

and $u(\cdot,t)\to u_0$ in $L^1(-R,R)$ as $t\to 0$. For any $0\le u_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we say that u is a solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ if $u>0$ is a classical solution of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ and

$$u(\cdot,t)\to u_0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{as } t\to 0.$$

For any set A we let χ_A be the characteristic function of the set A.

1 Self-similar solutions of the very fast diffusion equation

In this section we will use a modification of the technique of [Hs3] to construct self-similar solutions of (0.19).

Lemma 1.1. *For any $R_0>0$ and $\eta>0$, let $f(r)$ be the solution of*

$$\left(\frac{f'}{f^{1-m}}\right)'+\frac{1}{1+m}f-\frac{m}{1+m}rf'=0,\qquad f>0, \tag{1.1}$$

in $(0,R_0)$ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} f(0)=\eta\\ f'(0)=0.\end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Then

$$f-mrf'>0\qquad \text{in } [0,R_0) \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$f'<0\qquad \text{in } (0,R_0). \tag{1.4}$$

Proof: Let $h=f-mrf'$. By direct computation,

$$h'+\left((m-1)\frac{f'}{f}-\frac{m}{1+m}rf^{1-m}\right)h=0\quad \text{in } (0,R_0).$$

Hence

$$(g(r)h(r))'=0 \qquad\qquad \text{in } (0,R_0)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(r)&=exp\left(-\frac{m}{1+m}\int_0^r\rho f(\rho)^{1-m}\,d\rho+(m-1)\int_0^r(\ln f)'\,d\rho\right)\\ &=\left(\frac{f(0)}{f(r)}\right)^{1-m}exp\left(-\frac{m}{1+m}\int_0^r\rho f(\rho)^{1-m}\,d\rho\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $h(0)=\eta>0$ and $g(r)>0$ in $(0,R_0)$, (1.3) follows. In addition, by (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3),

$$\left(\frac{f'}{f^{1-m}}\right)'=-\frac{1}{1+m}h<0\quad \text{in } (0,R_0).$$

Hence

$$\frac{f'(r)}{f^{1-m}(r)} < 0 \qquad\qquad \text{in } (0, R_0)$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 1.2. *For any $\eta > 0$ there exists a unique solution f of* (1.1) *in* $(0,\infty)$ *which satisfies* (1.2).

Proof: Uniqueness of the solution of (1.1), (1.2), in $(0,\infty)$ follows by standard O.D.E. theory. So we only need to prove the existence of solution of (1.1), (1.2), in $(0,\infty)$. Local existence of solution of (1.1), (1.2), in a small interval around the origin also follows from standard O.D.E. theory.

Let $(0, R_0)$ be the maximal interval of existence for the solution f of (1.1), (1.2). Suppose $R_0 < \infty$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $r_i \to R_o$ as $i \to \infty$, such that either

$$|f'(r_i)| \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

or

$$f(r_i) \to 0 \quad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

or

$$f(r_i) \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.$$

By Lemma 1.1 (1.4) holds. Hence

$$0 < f(r) \le f(0) \qquad \forall 0 \le r < R_0. \tag{1.5}$$

By integrating (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f'}{f^{1-m}} &= -\frac{1}{1+m}\int_0^r f(\rho)\,d\rho + \frac{m}{1+m}\int_0^r \rho f'(\rho)\,d\rho \\ &= \frac{m}{1+m} r f(r) - \int_0^r f(\rho)\,d\rho \qquad\qquad \forall 0 \le r < R_0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Then by (1.5) and (1.6),

$$|f'(r)| \le \left(\frac{|m|}{1+m} + 1\right) r f(0)^{2-m} \le \left(-\frac{m}{1+m} + 1\right) R_0 f(0)^{2-m} \quad \forall r \in [0, R_0). \tag{1.7}$$

Multiplying (1.6) by f^{-m} and integrating,

$$\ln\left(\frac{f(r)}{f(0)}\right) = \frac{m}{1+m}\int_0^r \rho f(\rho)^{1-m}\,d\rho - \int_0^r \left[f(s)^{-m}\int_0^s f(\rho)\,d\rho\right] ds \quad \forall 0 \le r < R_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\ln\left(\frac{f(r)}{f(0)}\right)\right| &\le \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{m}{1+m} + 1\right) r^2 f(0)^{1-m} \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{m}{1+m} + 1\right) R_0^2 f(0)^{1-m} := C_1 \quad \text{(say)} \quad \forall 0 \le r < R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f(r) \geq f(0)e^{-C_1} \qquad \forall r \in [0, R_0). \tag{1.8}$$

By (1.5), (1.7) and (1.8), a contradiction arises. Hence no such sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ exists. Therefore $R_0 = \infty$ and there exists a unique solution f of (1.1), (1.2), in $(0, \infty)$. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. *Let* $\eta > 0$ *and* f *be the solution of* (1.1), (1.2), *in* $(0, \infty)$. *Then*

$$0 < r^{\frac{2}{1-m}} f(r) < \left(\frac{2(1+m)}{1-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} \qquad \forall r > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Proof: We will use an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [Hs3] to prove (1.9). By Lemma 1.1, $f' < 0$ in $(0, \infty)$. Hence by (1.6),

$$-\frac{f'}{f^{2-m}} \geq -\frac{m}{1+m} r + r = \frac{1}{1+m} r \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Integrating over $(0, r)$ and simplifying,

$$f(r) \leq \left(\frac{1-m}{2(1+m)} r^2 + f^{m-1}(0)\right)^{-\frac{1}{1-m}} < \left(\frac{2(1+m)}{1-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-m}} r^{-\frac{2}{1-m}} \quad \forall r > 0$$

and (1.9) follows. $\square$

Lemma 1.4. *For any* $\mu > 0$, *there exists a constant* $\eta > 0$ *and a solution* f *of* (1.1), (1.2), *in* $(0, \infty)$ *that satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty f(r)\, dr = \mu. \tag{1.10}$$

Proof: By Lemma 1.2 there exists a solution $\phi(r)$ of

$$\left(\frac{\phi'}{\phi^{1-m}}\right)' + \frac{1}{1+m}\phi - \frac{m}{1+m} r\phi' = 0$$

in $(0, \infty)$ which satisfies $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) = 0$. Moreover by Lemma 1.3,

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(r)\, dr := A_1 < \infty. \tag{1.11}$$

We now choose the constant η such that

$$A_1 \eta^{\frac{1+m}{2}} = \mu \tag{1.12}$$

and let

$$f(r) = \eta \phi(\eta^{\frac{1-m}{2}} r). \tag{1.13}$$

Then f satisfies (1.1) and (1.2) in $(0,\infty)$. By (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13),

$$\int_0^\infty f(r)\,dr = A_1\eta^{\frac{1+m}{2}} = \mu.$$

and (1.10) follows. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. *For any $\mu > 0$ and $T > 0$ there exists an even, smooth, positive solution $v(x,t)$ of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ which satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx = 2\mu(T-t) \qquad \forall t\in[0,T).$$

Proof: Let η and f be as in Lemma 1.4 and let

$$v(x,t) = (T-t)^{\frac{1}{1+m}} f\left(|x|(T-t)^{\frac{-m}{1+m}}\right).$$

The v is an even smooth solution of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx = 2(T-t)\int_0^\infty f(r)\,dr = 2\mu(T-t)$$

and the corollary follows. $\square$

Lemma 1.6. *Let $\mu > 0$ and let f be as in Lemma 1.4. Then $r^{-\frac{1}{m}}f(r)$ increases to $(\mu|m|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ as $r\to\infty$. Moreover there exist constants $a > 0$ and $r_0 > a/(\mu|m|)$ such that*

$$(\mu|m|r+a)^{\frac{1}{m}} \le f(r) \le (\mu|m|r)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall r\ge r_0. \tag{1.14}$$

Proof: Let $w(r) = r^{-\frac{1}{m}}f(r)$. By direct computation $w(r)$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{w'}{w^{1-m}}\right)' + \frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{w'}{w^{1-m}}\right) - \frac{m}{1+m}r^{\frac{1}{m}}w' = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,\infty). \tag{1.15}$$

By Lemma 1.1 (1.3) holds in $(0,\infty)$. Then

$$w'(r) = -\frac{1}{m}r^{-(\frac{1}{m}+1)}(f(r) - mrf'(r)) > 0 \qquad \forall r > 0. \tag{1.16}$$

Let

$$g(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{m}{1+m}\int_0^r \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}w^{1-m}(\rho)\,d\rho\right) \qquad \forall r>0.$$

Then $g'(r) = -\frac{m}{1+m}r^{\frac{1}{m}}w^{1-m}(r)g(r)$. Multiplying (1.15) by $r^2g(r)$ and integrating over $(0,r)$,

$$r^2g(r)\frac{w'(r)}{w^{1-m}(r)} = -\frac{\eta^m}{m}. \tag{1.17}$$

By (1.16),

$$g(r) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{m}{1+m}w^{1-m}(1)\int_1^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{m}}\,d\rho\right) := c \qquad \forall r \geq 2 \tag{1.18}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Hence by (1.16), (1.17), and (1.18),

$$0 < \frac{w'(r)}{w^{1-m}(r)} \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \qquad \forall r \geq 2 \tag{1.19}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Thus

$$r\frac{w'(r)}{w^{1-m}(r)} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty. \tag{1.20}$$

By (1.6), (1.9) and (1.10),

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{f'(r)}{f^{1-m}(r)} \to -\mu. \tag{1.21}$$

Hence by (1.20) and (1.21),

$$0 = \lim_{r\to\infty}\left[r\frac{w'(r)}{w^{1-m}(r)}\right] = \lim_{r\to\infty}\left[-\frac{w^m}{m} + \frac{f'}{f^{1-m}}\right] = \frac{\lim_{r\to\infty} w^m(r)}{|m|} - \mu.$$

Thus $\lim_{r\to\infty} w(r) = (\mu|m|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$. By (1.19) there exists a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$|w^m(r) - \mu|m|| \leq \left|\int_r^\infty (w^m)'(\rho)\,d\rho\right| \leq \int_r^\infty |m|w^{m-1}(\rho)w'(\rho)\,d\rho \leq a\int_r^\infty \rho^{-2}\,d\rho = a/r$$

for any $r > 2$ and (1.14) follows.

□

Corollary 1.7. *Let $\mu > 0$, $T > 0$, and let $v(x,t)$ be as in Corollary 1.5. Then $|x|^{-\frac{1}{m}}v(x,t)$ increases to $(\mu|m|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly on $0 \leq t \leq T - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$. Moreover there exist constants $a > 0$ and $r_0 > a/(\mu|m|)$ such that*

$$(\mu|m||x| + a(T-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}})^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq v(x,t) \leq (\mu|m||x|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

holds for any $|x| \geq r_0(T-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}$ and $0 < t < T$.

2 Properties of the Green function in $(-R, R)$

In this section we will prove some properties of the Green function for the Laplace operator on $I_R = (-R, R)$. For any $R > 0$ and $f \in L^1(I_R)$, let

$$G_R(x,y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(R+y)(R-x)}{2R} & \text{if } -R \leq y \leq x \leq R \\ -\frac{(R-y)(R+x)}{2R} & \text{if } -R \leq x \leq y \leq R \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_R(f)(x)=\int_{-R}^{R}G_R(x,y)f(y)\,dy.$$

Then

$$G_R(f)(x)=-\frac{1}{2R}\left[\int_{-R}^{x}(R+y)(R-x)f(y)\,dy+\int_{x}^{R}(R-y)(R+x)f(y)\,dy\right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. *The function* $G_R(x,y)$ *is the Green function for the Laplacian in* $[-R,R]$.

Proof: By (2.1) $G_R(f)(\pm R)=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}G_R(f)(x)=-\frac{1}{2R}\Bigg[&R^2\int_{-R}^{R}f(y)\,dy+R\Big(\int_{-R}^{x}yf(y)\,dy-\int_{x}^{R}yf(y)\,dy\Big)\\&+xR\Big(\int_{x}^{R}f(y)\,dy-\int_{-R}^{x}f(y)\,dy\Big)-x\int_{-R}^{R}yf(y)\,dy\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

Then by direction computation,

$$(G_R(f))'(x)=-\frac{1}{2R}\left[R\left(\int_{x}^{R}f(y)\,dy-\int_{-R}^{x}f(y)\,dy\right)-\int_{-R}^{R}yf(y)\,dy\right]$$

and

$$(G_R(f))''(x)=f(x)\quad\forall f\in C(I_R),x\in I_R.$$

Hence the second derivatives of $G_R(x,y)$ is the Dirac delta function in a distribution sense. Thus the function $G_R(x,y)$ is the Greens function for the Laplacian in $[-R,R]$. □

We next introduce the operator

$$G_R^*(f)(x)=\int_{-R}^{R}\left[G_R(x,y)-G(0,y)\right]f(y)\,dy \quad (2.2)$$

where $R>0$ and $f\in L^1((-R,R))$. Note that by direct computation

$$G_R^*(f'')(x)=f(x)-f(0)$$

for any function $f\in C^2[-R,R]$ such that $f(R)=f(-R)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $0\le f\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfy*

$$|f(x)|\le C|x|^{\frac{1}{m}}\quad\forall|x|\ge R_0 \quad (2.3)$$

for some constant $R_0 > 1$. Then

$$\left|G_R^*(f)(x) - \frac{|x|}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,dx - \theta_R(x)\right| \le \theta(x) \quad \forall |x| \le R, R \ge R_0 \tag{2.4}$$

for some functions $\theta_R(\cdot) \in L^1(-R,R)$ and $\theta(\cdot) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfy

$$\theta_R(x) = |x| \cdot o(R) \quad as \;\; R \to \infty \qquad and \qquad \theta(x) = o(|x|) \quad as \;\; |x| \to \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof: By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^*(f)(x) &= -\int_0^x yf(y)\,dy - \frac{x}{2}\left(\int_x^R f(y)\,dy - \int_{-R}^x f(y)\,dy\right) + \frac{x}{2R}\int_{-R}^R yf(y)\,dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = -\int_0^x yf(y)\,dy, \qquad\qquad I_2 = -\frac{x}{2}\left(\int_x^R f(y)\,dy - \int_{-R}^x f(y)\,dy\right)$$

and

$$I_3 = \frac{x}{2R}\int_{-R}^R yf(y)\,dy.$$

By (2.3)

$$|yf(y)| \le C|y|^{1+\frac{1}{m}} \to 0 \quad \text{ as } |y| \to \infty.$$

Hence if $\int_0^\infty yf(y)\,dy = \infty$, then by the l'Hospital rule,

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{I_1}{x} = -\lim_{x\to\infty} xf(x) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly if $\int_{-\infty}^0 yf(y)\,dy = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty}\frac{I_1}{x} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

If $yf(y) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\frac{I_1}{|x|} = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} I_3 = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Now

$$\left|I_2 - \frac{|x|}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,dy\right| = \begin{cases} \dfrac{|x|}{2}\left(\displaystyle\int_x^R f\,dy + \int_x^\infty f\,dy + \int_{-\infty}^{-R} f\,dy\right) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le R \\ \dfrac{|x|}{2}\left(\displaystyle\int_{-R}^x f\,dy + \int_{-\infty}^x f\,dy + \int_R^\infty f\,dy\right) & \text{if } -R \le x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by (2.3),

$$\left|I_2 - \frac{|x|}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,dy\right| \le C|x|^{2+\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall |x| \le R \tag{2.10}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Let $\theta_R(x) = I_3$, $\theta(x) = I_1 + e(x)$, where $e(x) = C|x|^{2+\frac{1}{m}}$. Since

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{e(x)}{|x|} = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{as } |x| \to \infty,$$

by (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) we get (2.4) and the lemma follows. □

3 Convergence of the Dirichlet solutions

In this section we will use a modification of the technique of P. Daskalopoulos and M.A.Del Pino [DP] to prove the convergence of solutions u^R of the Dirichlet problem (0.7) to the solution of (0.2) that satisfies (0.8) as $R \to \infty$.

For any $R \ge 1$, $\mu > 0$, and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, let $u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}$ be the unique solution of (0.7) with initial data $u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}(x,0) = u_0(x) + \varepsilon$ (cf. [ERV], [Hu1]). By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [Hu2] $u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}$ satisfies the Aronson-Benilan inequality

$$u_t \le \frac{u}{(1-m)t} \tag{3.1}$$

in $I_R \times (0,\infty)$. Since by the maximum principle $0 < u_{\varepsilon_1}^{R,\mu} \le u_{\varepsilon_2}^{R,\mu}$ for any $\varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_1 > 0$,

$$u^{R,\mu} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}$$

exists. When there is no ambiguity, we will drop the superscript μ and write u_ε^R, u^R, for $u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}$ and $u^{R,\mu}$ respectively.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mu > 0$ and $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that*

$$u_0(x) \le (\mu_0|m||x|)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall |x| \ge R_0 \tag{3.2}$$

for some constant $R_0 > 1$ and $0 < \mu_0 \le \mu$. Then $u^R = u_\varepsilon^{R,\mu}$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ as $R \to \infty$ to a solution u of (0.2) *which satisfies* (0.8) *and* (0.9) *uniformly on $[a,b]$ for any $0 < a < b < T$ where T is given by* (0.10).

We will prove Theorem 3.1 in section 4. In this section we will prove the following sequential version of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mu > 0$ and $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that* (3.2) *holds for some constant $R_0 > 1$. Let $\{R_k\}$ be a sequence such that $R_k \ge 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $R_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{R_k'\}$ of $\{R_k\}$ such that $u^{R_k'} = u^{R_k',\mu}$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ as $k \to \infty$ to a solution u of* (0.2) *which satisfies* (0.8) *where T is given by* (0.10).

Proof: Our construction goes as follows. For any $\mu > 0$, we solve the boundary value problem (0.7) on a sequence of expanding cylindrical domains $I_{R_k} \times [0, \infty)$, $I_{R_k} = (-R_k, R_k)$. We then use the self-similar solutions constructed in section one as barriers in an average sense to show that the limit of those solutions along a subsequence of $\{R_k\}$ converges to a solution of (0.2) that satisfies (0.8) as $R_k \to \infty$.

For any $0 < \delta < T$ let $v^{T-\delta}$, $v^{T+\delta}$, be the self-similar solutions given by Corollary (1.5) which satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^{T+\delta}(x,t)\,dx = 2\mu(T+\delta-t) \qquad \forall 0 < t < T+\delta \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^{T-\delta}(x,t)\,dx = 2\mu(T-\delta-t) \qquad \forall 0 < t < T-\delta. \tag{3.4}$$

Since by (0.10),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx = 2\mu T,$$

it follows from (3.3), (3.4), and Lemma 2.2 that there exists $R_0' \ge R_0$ and $l_\delta > 0$ such that

$$-l_\delta + G_R^*(v^{T-\delta}(\cdot,0))(x) \le G_R^*(u_0)(x) \le G_R^*(v^{T+\delta}(\cdot,0))(x) + l_\delta \quad \forall |x| \le R \tag{3.5}$$

for any $R \ge R_0'$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $R_0' = R_0$ and $R_k \ge R_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We will also assume that $R \ge R_0$ for the rest of the paper.

We will next show that there exists a subsequence of $\{R_k\}$ which we will still denote by $\{R_k\}$ and a nonnegative constant L_δ such that

$$-L_\delta + G_{R_k}^*(v^{T-\delta}(\cdot,t))(x) \le G_{R_k}^*(u^{R_k}(\cdot,))(x) \le G_{R_k}^*(v^{T+\delta}(\cdot,t))(x) + L_\delta \tag{3.6}$$

holds for any $|x| \le R_k$, $0 \le t \le T - 3\delta$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We first prove the left hand side of (3.6). Let

$$W(x,t) = G_R^*(u_\varepsilon^R(\cdot,t) - v^{T-\delta}(\cdot,t))(x).$$

We will prove that $W(x,t) \ge -L_\delta$ for $|x| \le R$ and $0 \le t \le T-2\delta$ using the maximum principle. By direct computation,

$$W_t = G_R^*\left(\left[\frac{(u_\varepsilon^R)^m - (v^{T-\delta})^m}{m}\right]_{xx}\right) = a(x,t)W_{xx} - b(t)$$

where

$$a(x,t) = \frac{(u_\varepsilon^R)^m - (v^{T-\delta})^m}{m(u_\varepsilon^R - v^{T-\delta})}(x,t)$$

and

$$b(t) = \frac{(u_\varepsilon^R)^m(0,t) - (v^{T-\delta})^m(0,t)}{m}.$$

Note that

$$b(t) \le \frac{\left(v^{T-\delta}\right)^m(0,t)}{|m|} \le \frac{\inf_{0\le t\le T-2\delta}\left(v^{T-\delta}\right)^m(0,t)}{|m|} \quad \forall 0<t\le T-2\delta. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence

$$b(t)\le B \qquad \forall 0<t\le T-2\delta$$

for some constant $B<\infty$. Therefore, if we set $\widetilde{W}=W+Bt$, then $\widetilde{W}(x,t)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$\widetilde{W}_t \ge a(x,t)\widetilde{W}_{xx} \quad \text{in } I_R\times(0,T-2\delta). \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.5),

$$\widetilde{W}(x,0)=W(x,0)\ge -l_\delta \quad \forall |x|\le R. \tag{3.9}$$

By Corollary 1.7 $|x|^{-\frac{1}{m}}v^{T-\delta}(x,t)$ increases to $(\mu|m|)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ uniformly on $0\le t\le T-2\delta$ as $|x|\to\infty$. Thus

$$\frac{\left(v^{T-\delta}\right)^m(x,t)}{m} \le -\mu|x| \qquad\qquad \forall |x|>0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\left(v^{T-\delta}\right)^m(x,t)}{m} \le \frac{\left(u_\varepsilon^R\right)^m(x,t)}{m} \qquad \forall |x|=R>0. \tag{3.10}$$

Since

$$\widetilde{W}_t(x,t)=\frac{\left(u_\varepsilon^R\right)^m-\left(v^{T-\delta}\right)^m}{m}(x,t)-b(t)+B \quad \forall |x|=R, 0\le t\le T-2\delta,$$

by (3.10),

$$\widetilde{W}_t(x,t)\ge -b(t)+B\ge 0 \quad \forall |x|=R, 0\le t\le T-2\delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \widetilde{W}(x,t)\ge W(x,0)\ge -l_\delta \quad \forall |x|=R, 0\le t\le T-2\delta. \tag{3.11}$$

Then by (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), and the maximum principle,

$$\widetilde{W}(x,t)\ge -l_\delta, \qquad \forall |x|\le R,\ 0\le t\le T-2\delta. \tag{3.12}$$

Letting $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (3.12),

$$G_R^*(u^R(\cdot,t))(x)\ge G_R^*(v^{T-\delta}(\cdot,t))(x)-L_\delta \quad \forall |x|\le R, 0\le t\le T-2\delta \tag{3.13}$$

where L_δ is any number greater than or equal to $l_\delta+BT$.

Before we show the right hand side of (3.6), we will first construct the solution u of (0.19). For any $0<r\le R$ let

$$H(r)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{|x|=r}\left[G_R(x,y)-G_R(0,y)\right]\,d\sigma(x)=\left[\frac{G_R(r,y)+G_R(-r,y)}{2}-G_R(0,y)\right].$$

Then by direct computation,

$$H(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r-|y|}{2} & \text{if } |y| < r \\ 0 & \text{if } |y| \geq r \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

holds for any $0 < r \leq R$. Putting $x = \pm r$ and averaging on both sides of (3.13). By (3.14),

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{-r}^{r}(r-|y|)u^R(y,t)\,dy \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{-r}^{r}(r-|y|)v^{T-\delta}(y,t)\,dy - L_\delta \quad \forall 0 < r \leq R.$$

By integration by parts,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^R(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T-\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho - L_\delta \tag{3.15}$$

holds for any $0 < r < R$ and $0 < t \leq T - 2\delta$. We now recall that the special solutions $v^{T\pm\delta}$ has the form

$$v^{T\pm\delta}(x,t) = (T \pm \delta - t)^{\frac{1}{1+m}} f\left(|x|(T\pm\delta-t)^{\frac{-m}{1+m}}\right)$$

with $\int_0^\infty f(r)\,dr = \mu$ where f is given by Lemma 1.4. By direct computation,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T\pm\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho = (T\pm\delta-t)^{1+\frac{m}{1+m}} \int_0^{\alpha(t)r}\left[\int_0^\rho f(r)\,dr\right] d\rho \tag{3.16}$$

where $\alpha(t) = (T-\delta-t)^{\frac{-m}{1+m}}$ for $v^{T-\delta}$ and $\alpha(t) = (T+\delta-t)^{\frac{-m}{1+m}}$ for $v^{T+\delta}$. Let $\mu > \delta' > 0$ be a constant to be determined later. Since

$$\int_0^\infty f(r)\,dr = \mu,$$

then there exists $R_0'' \geq R_0$ such that

$$\int_0^\rho f(r)\,dr \geq \mu - \delta' \qquad \rho \geq R_0''.$$

We now choose

$$0 < \delta' < \min\left(\mu, \frac{\mu\delta}{T-\delta}\right).$$

Then $(T-\delta-t)(\mu-\delta') \geq (T-2\delta-t)\mu$ holds for any $0 < t \leq T-2\delta$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T-\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho &\geq (T-\delta-t)^{1+\frac{m}{1+m}} \int_{R_0''}^{\alpha(t)r}\left[\int_0^\rho f(r)\,dr\right] d\rho \\ &\geq (T-\delta-t)^{1+\frac{m}{1+m}}(\mu-\delta')(\alpha(t)r - R_0'') \\ &\geq \mu(T-2\delta-t)\left(r - (T-\delta-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}R_0''\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

holds for any $0 \le t \le T - 2\delta$ and $r \ge \delta^{\frac{m}{1+m}} R_0''$. Then by (3.15) and (3.17),

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^R(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \ge \mu(T-t-2\delta)\left(r - (T-t-\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}} R_0''\right) - L_\delta \tag{3.18}$$

holds for any $0 \le t \le T - 2\delta$ and $r \ge \delta^{\frac{m}{1+m}} R_0''$.

Claim: Given any $0 < \delta < T/3$ the sequence $\{R_k\}$ has a subsequence still denoted by $\{R_k\}$ such that as $k \to \infty$ and u^{R_k} will converge uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T-3\delta]$ to a solution u^δ of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T-3\delta)$ that satisfies (0.8) for any $0 \le t \le T - 3\delta$.

To prove the claim, we first observe that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\limsup_{R_k \to \infty} u^{R_k}(x_0, T - (5/2)\delta) > 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Indeed, if $\limsup_{k\to\infty} u^{R_k}(x, T-(5/2)\delta) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then by the Lebesque Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^{R_k}(x, T-(5/2)\delta)\,dx\right] d\rho = 0 \quad \forall r > 0$$

which contradicts (3.18) since the right hand side of (3.18) is strictly positive for $0 \le t \le T - (5/2)\delta$ and r sufficiently large. Hence (3.19) holds for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. It then follows from (3.19) that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, a subsequence of $\{u^{R_k}\}$ which we still denoted by $\{u^{R_k}\}$, and a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$u^{R_k}(x_0, T-(5/2)\delta) \ge c_0 > 0 \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \tag{3.20}$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$. For any $r_0 > 0$ and $s_0 \in (0, T-3\delta)$, let $K(r_0, s_0) = \overline{I_{r_0}(x_0)} \times [s_0, T-3\delta]$. Since u_ε^R satisfies the Aronson-Benilan inequality (3.1), by Lemma 3.2 of [Hu4] and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8 of [Hu1] we have the following Harnack type estimate. For any $r_0 > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$, and $s_0 \in (0, T-3\delta)$, there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ depending on m, T, δ, δ_1 and $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon^R(y,t) \ge (C_1 (u_\varepsilon^R)^m (x_0, T-(5/2)\delta) + C_2)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{3.21}$$

holds for any $(y,t) \in K(r_0, s_0)$ and $R \ge r_0 + \delta_1$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.21),

$$u^R(y,t) \ge (C_1 (u^R)^m (x_0, T-(5/2)\delta) + C_2)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{3.22}$$

holds for any $(y,t) \in K(r_0, s_0)$ and $R \ge r_0 + \delta_1$. By (3.20) and (3.22),

$$u^{R_k}(y,\tau) \ge c(K(r_0,s_0)) > 0 \qquad \forall R_k \ge r_0 + \delta_1, (y,t) \in K(r_0,s_0) \tag{3.23}$$

for some constant $c(K(r_0, s_0))$. Hence the sequence $\{u^{R_k}\}$ is uniformly bounded below by some positive constant on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T-3\delta]$ for all k sufficiently large. Since the sequence $\{u^{R_k}\}$ is uniformly bounded from above by $\|u_0\|_\infty$, by the Schauder estimates for parabolic equations [LSU] the sequence $\{u^{R_k}\}$ is equi-Hölder continuous on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T-3\delta]$. Hence by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument there exists a subsequence we will still denoted by $\{u^{R_k}\}$ that converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T-3\delta]$ to a solution u^δ of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T-3\delta]$.

It remains to show that

$$u^\delta(\cdot,t)\to u_0 \qquad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{as } t\to 0.$$

Since u^R_ε satisfies (3.1), u^R satisfies (3.1). By (3.1) for u^{R_k} and (3.20),

$$u^{R_k}(x,t)\ge \frac{t^{\frac{1}{1-m}}}{(T-(5/2)\delta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}}u^{R_k}(x,T-(5/2)\delta)\ge c_0\frac{t^{\frac{1}{1-m}}}{(T-(5/2)\delta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}} \tag{3.24}$$

holds for any $|x|\le R_k$, $0\le t\le T-3\delta$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. Letting $k\to\infty$ in (3.24),

$$u^\delta(x,t)\ge c_0\frac{t^{\frac{1}{1-m}}}{(T-(5/2)\delta)^{\frac{1}{1-m}}} \quad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}, 0\le t\le T-3\delta. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus for any $\psi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, by (3.25),

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^\delta(x,t)\psi(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u^\delta)_t(x,s)\psi(x)\,dxds\right|\\ &= \left|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{(u^\delta)^m(x,s)}{m}\right)_{xx}\psi(x)\,dxds\right|\\ &= \left|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(u^\delta)^m(x,s)}{m}\psi_{xx}(x)\,dxds\right|\\ &\le \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{(u^\delta)^m(x,s)}{m}\right|\left|\psi_{xx}(x)\right|\,dxds\\ &\le C\int_0^t s^{\frac{m}{1-m}}\,ds\\ &= C(1-m)t^{\frac{1}{1-m}}\\ &\to 0 \qquad \text{as } t\to 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence $u^\delta(\cdot,t)\to u_0$ weakly in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $t\to 0$. Then any sequence $\{t_i\}$, $t_i\to 0$ as $i\to 0$, has a subsequence which we still denote by $\{t_i\}$ such that $u^\delta(\cdot,t_i)\to u_0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}$ as $i\to\infty$.

Let $\phi(x):=(\mu_0|m|(|x|-R_0))^{\frac{1}{m}}$. We claim that

$$u^\delta(x,t)\le\phi(x)=(\mu_0|m|(|x|-R_0))^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall|x|\ge R_0,\ 0<t\le T-3\delta. \tag{3.26}$$

Suppose the claim holds. Since $\phi(x) \in L^1((-\infty,-2R_0)\cup(2R_0,\infty))$ and $u^\delta \le \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem $u^\delta(\cdot,t_i) \to u_0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $i \to \infty$. Since the sequence $\{t_i\}$ is arbitrary, $u^\delta(\cdot,t) \to u_0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $t \to 0$. Hence u^δ is a solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T-3\delta)$.

We will now prove the above claim. Let $R > R_0$ and

$$0 < \delta_1 < \min((R-R_0)/2, (\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}+1)^m/(\mu_0|m|)).$$

Then

$$\phi(\pm(R_0+\delta_1)) \ge \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}+1 \ge u_\varepsilon^R(\pm(R_0+\delta_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\pm R) \ge u_\varepsilon^R(\pm R)$$

for any $0<\varepsilon<1$. Hence by (3.2) and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [DK] and Lemma 2.5 of [Hu3], for any $0<\varepsilon<1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{R_0+\delta_1\le|x|\le R}(u_\varepsilon^R(x,t)-\phi(x))_+\,dx \le& \int_{R_0+\delta_1\le|x|\le R}(u_\varepsilon^R(x,t_1)-\phi(x))_+\,dx \quad \forall t>t_1>0\\ \to& \int_{R_0+\delta_1\le|x|\le R}(\varepsilon+u_0-\phi)_+\,dx \quad \text{as } t_1\to 0\\ \le& 2\varepsilon(R-R_0-\delta_1) \qquad \forall t>0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Letting $\varepsilon\to 0$ and $\delta_1\to 0$ in (3.27),

$$\int_{R_0\le|x|\le R}(u^R(x,t)-\phi(x))_+\,dx \le 0 \quad \forall t>0.$$

Hence

$$u^R(x,t) \le \phi(x) = (\mu|m|(|x|-R_0))^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall R_0\le|x|\le R, t>0. \tag{3.28}$$

Putting $R=R_k$ in (3.28) and letting $k\to\infty$ we get (3.26) and the claim follows.

We will now prove the right hand side of (3.6). Let

$$Z(x,t) = G_R^*\left(u_\varepsilon^{R_k}(\cdot,t)-v^{T+\delta}(\cdot,t)\right)(x).$$

Then $Z(x,t)$ satisfies the equation $Z_t = d(x,t)Z_{xx}-e(t)$ with

$$d(x,t) = \frac{(u_\varepsilon^{R_k})^m-(v^{T+\delta})^m}{m(u_\varepsilon^{R_k}-v^{T+\delta})}(x,t)$$

and

$$e(t) = \frac{(u_\varepsilon^{R_k})^m(0,t)-(v^{T+\delta})^m(0,t)}{m}.$$

Since $u_\varepsilon^{R_k}\ge u^{R_k}$, by (3.24),

$$e(t) \ge -\frac{(u_\varepsilon^{R_k})^m(0,t)}{|m|} \ge -\frac{c_0^m t^{\frac{m}{1-m}}}{|m|(T-\frac{5\delta}{2})^{\frac{m}{1-m}}} = -Dt^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \quad \forall 0\le t\le T-3\delta$$

where $D = c_0^m/(|m|(T - \frac{5\delta}{2})^{\frac{m}{1-m}})$. Therefore, if we set $\widetilde{Z} = Z - D\int_0^t s^{\frac{m}{1-m}}\,ds$, then $\widetilde{Z}(x,t)$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{Z}_t \le d(x,t)\widetilde{Z}_{xx} \quad \forall |x| \le R_k, 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta.$$

At $t = 0$ we have $\widetilde{Z}(x,0) = Z(x,0) \le l_\delta$. Now

$$\widetilde{Z}_t = \frac{(u_\varepsilon^{R_k})(x,t) - (v^{T+\delta})^m(x,t)}{m} - e(t) - Dt^{\frac{m}{1-m}} \le \frac{(v^{T+\delta})^m(x,t) - (u_\varepsilon^{R_k})(x,t)}{|m|}. \quad (3.29)$$

By Corollary 1.7 there exist constants $a > 0$ and $r_0 > a/(\mu|m|)$ such that

$$v^{T+\delta}(x,t) \ge (\mu|m||x| + a(T+\delta-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}})^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

holds for any $|x| \ge r_0(T+\delta-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}$ and $0 < t < T+\delta$. Hence

$$(v^{T+\delta})^m(x,t) \le \mu|m||x| + a(T+\delta-t)^{\frac{m}{1+m}} \quad (3.30)$$

for any $|x| \ge r_0(4\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}$ and $0 < t \le T - 3\delta$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that $R_k \ge r_0(4\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then by (3.30),

$$((v^{T+\delta})^m - u^m)(\pm R_k, t) \le a(T+\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}} \quad \forall 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta. \quad (3.31)$$

By (3.29) and (3.31),

$$\widetilde{Z}_t(\pm R_k, t) \le a(T+\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}} \quad \forall 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta.$$

Let $\hat{Z} = \widetilde{Z} - a(T+\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}t$. Then $\hat{Z}(x,t)$ satisfies

$$\hat{Z}_t \le d(x,t)\hat{Z}_{xx} \quad \forall |x| \le R_k, 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta,$$

$$\hat{Z}(x,0) = \widetilde{Z}(x,0) \le l_\delta \quad \forall |x| \le R_k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{Z}_t(\pm R_k, t) \le 0 \quad \forall 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta \\ \Rightarrow\quad & \hat{Z}(\pm R_k, t) \le \hat{Z}(\pm R_k, 0) \le l_\delta \quad \forall 0 \le t \le T - 3\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the maximum principle $\hat{Z} \le l_\delta$ in $(-R_j, R_j) \times (0, T - 3\delta)$, which implies the right hand side (3.6) with

$$L_\delta = l_\delta + \max(BT, (1-m)DT^{\frac{1}{1-m}} + a(T+\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}}T).$$

Now by putting $x = \pm r$, $r > 0$, into the right hand side of (3.6) and averaging we get after simplifying as before that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^{R_k}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T-\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho + L_\delta$$

holds for any $0 < r < R_k$, $0 < t \le T - 3\delta$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Letting $k \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^\delta(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T-\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho + L_\delta \tag{3.32}$$

holds for any $r > 0$ and $0 < t \le T - 3\delta$. By (3.16),

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} v^{T+\delta}(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \le (T+\delta-t)\mu r. \tag{3.33}$$

By (3.32) and (3.33),

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^\delta(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \le (T+\delta-t)\mu r + L_\delta \tag{3.34}$$

holds for any $r > 0$ and $0 < t \le T - 3\delta$. By (3.18) and (3.34) the solution u^δ satisfies

$$-\frac{L_\delta}{r} + \mu(T-t-2\delta)\left(1 - \frac{a_0}{r}\right) \le \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} u^\delta(x,t)\,dx\right] d\rho \le \mu(T-t+2\delta) + \frac{L_\delta}{r} \tag{3.35}$$

for all $r \ge \delta^{\frac{m}{1+m}} R_0'$ and $0 \le t \le T - 3\delta$ where $a_0 = (2\delta)^{\frac{m}{1+m}} R_0''$. Now for any bounded non-negative integrable function h on $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$A_r := \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} h\,dx\right] d\rho \le \frac{1}{2}\|h\|_{L^1} \qquad \forall r > 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2r}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} h\,dx\right] d\rho \ge \frac{r - R_1}{2r}\int_{-R_1}^{R_1} h\,dx \qquad \forall r \ge R_1 > 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{-R_1}^{R_1} h\,dx \le \liminf_{r\to\infty} A_r \le \limsup_{r\to\infty} A_r \le \frac{1}{2}\|h\|_{L^1} \qquad \forall R_1 > 0.$$

Letting $R_1 \to \infty$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{2r}\int_0^r \left[\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} h\,dx\right] d\rho = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)\,dx \qquad \forall 0 \le h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since $u^\delta \le \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$, letting $r \to \infty$ in (3.35) by (3.26) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\mu(T-t-2\delta) \le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^\delta(x,t)\,dx \le \mu(T-t+2\delta). \tag{3.36}$$

It remains to construct a solution u of the problem (0.2) which is defined up to time T and satisfies (0.8). Let $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\delta_k \to 0$. By the previous argument there exists a subsequence $\{R_k^1\}$ of $\{R_k\}$ such that $u^{R_k^1}$ converges to a solution u^{δ_1} of (0.2) uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_1]$ as $k \to \infty$.

We construct u^{δ_k} inductively. For any $j \geq 1$ suppose $\{R_k^j\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence of $\{R_k^{j-1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u^{R_k^j}$ converges to a solution u^{δ_j} of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_j)$ uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_j]$ as $k \to \infty$. By repeating the above argument the sequence $\{R_k^j\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence $\{R_k^{j+1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u^{R_k^{j+1}}$ converges uniformly to some solution $u^{\delta_{j+1}}$ of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_{j+1})$ on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_{j+1}]$ as $k \to \infty$.

By construction we have $u^{\delta_j} = u^{\delta_{j-1}}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - 3\delta_j]$ for any $j \geq 1$. Hence if we define $u(x,t) = u^{\delta_j}(x,t)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < t \leq T - 3\delta_j$, and $j \geq 1$, then u satisfies (0.2) on $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$. Putting $\delta = \delta_j$ in (3.36) and letting $j \to \infty$ we get that u satisfies (0.8) and the theorem follows.

□

By the construction of solution of (0.2) in Theorem 3.2 we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.3. *For any $\mu_2 > \mu_1 > 0$ and $0 \leq u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that (3.2) holds for some constants $R_0 > 1$ and $0 < \mu_0 \leq \mu_1$ with $\mu = \mu_2$ if u_{μ_1} and u_{μ_2} are the solutions of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_1})$ and $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_2})$ resepctively given by Theorem 3.2 which satisfies (0.8) with $\mu = \mu_1, \mu_2$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_1})$ and $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_2})$ resepctively where T_{μ_i} is given by (0.10) with $\mu = \mu_1, \mu_2$ respectively, then $u_{\mu_2} \leq u_{\mu_1}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_2})$.*

Corollary 3.4 (cf. [ERV]). *Let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function such that (3.2) holds for some constant $R_0 > 1$ and $\mu_0 > 0$ For any $\mu \geq \mu' > 0$ let $u_{\mu'}$ be the solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu'})$ given by Theorem 3.2 which satisfies (0.8) where $T_{\mu'}$ is given by (0.10) with μ being replaced by μ'. Then $u_{\mu'}$ will increase and converge to the global solution u of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ which satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\,dx \quad \forall t > 0.$$

as $\mu' \to 0$.

4 Uniqueness of solution

In this section we will use a modification of the technique of [Hs2] to prove that the solution of (0.2) constructed in section three by the Dirichlet approximation and the solution of (0.2) constructed in [Hu3] by the Neumann approximation are equal. We will also prove the convergence of solutions of (0.7) and (0.14) as $R \to \infty$.

We first observe that by Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.4, and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [DP] we have the following two results.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose* $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$, *and* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \mu_i \chi_{I_i}$ *is a step function on* $[0, T_0)$ *where* $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_{i_0} = T_0$ *is a partition of the interval* $[0, T_0]$, $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $\mu_i \ge 0$ *for all* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, i_0$ *such that*

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \mu_i (a_i - a_{i-1}) \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\, dx.$$

Let $T \in (0, T_0]$ *be given by* (0.13) *and* $a_{j_0 - 1} < T \le a_{j_0}$ *for some* $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, i_0\}$. *Let* u_1 *be the solution of* (0.19) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, a_1)$ *given by Theorem 3.2 or Corollary 3.4 which satisfies* (0.8) *with* $f = \mu_1$ *and* $u_1(\cdot, t) \to u_0$ *in* $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *as* $t \to 0$. *For each* $i = 2, 3, \cdots, j_0 - 1$, *let* u_i *be the solution of* (0.19) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, a_i - a_{i-1})$ *given by Theorem 3.2 or Corollary 3.4 which satisfies* (0.8) *with* $f = \mu_i$, $u_0 = u_{i-1}$, *and* $u_i(\cdot, t) \to u_{i-1}(x, a_{i-1})$ *in* $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *as* $t \to 0$. *Let* u_{j_0} *be the solution of* (0.19) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T - a_{j_0 - 1})$ *given by Theorem 3.2 or Corollary 3.4 which satisfies* (0.8) *with* $f = \mu_i$, $u_0 = u_{j_0 - 1}$, *and* $u_{j_0}(\cdot, t) \to u_{j_0 - 1}(x, a_{j_0 - 1})$ *in* $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *as* $t \to 0$. *Then the function* u *defined by* $u(x, t) = u_i(x, t - a_{i-1})$ *for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, i_0$, *is a solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ *which satisfies* (0.11).

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose* $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$, *and* $0 \le f \in C([0, \infty))$. *For any* $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, *let* $f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \mu_i \chi_{I_i}$ *where* $\mu_i = \sup_{I_i} f$, $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_i = iT/2^k$, *for all* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2^k$, *and* T *is given* (0.13). *Let* v_k *be the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_k)$ *given by Lemma 4.1 which satisfies* (0.11) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_k)$ *with* f *being replaced by* f_k *where* T_k *is given by* (0.13) *with* $f = f_k$. *Then* $v_{k+1} \ge v_k$ *on* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_k)$ *for all* $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, *and as* $k \to \infty$ v_k *will converge uniformly on every compact subset of* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ *to a solution* u *of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ *that satisfies* (0.11).

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose* $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$. *If* u *is the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_\mu)$ *given by Theorem 3.2 which satisfies* (0.8) *where* T_μ *is given by* (0.10), *then* u *satisfies* (0.9) *uniformly on* $[a, b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T_\mu$.

Proof: Let $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $R_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. By the proof of Theorem 3.2 the sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we still denote by $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that the sequence of solution $\{u^{R_k, \mu}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of (0.7) with $R = R_k$ converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_\mu)$ to u as $k \to \infty$.

For any $\mu_2 > \mu > \mu_1 > 0$, let $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}$, $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_2}$, be the solutions of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_1})$ and $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_{\mu_2})$ respectively constructed by the Neumann approximation given by Theorem 4.6 of [Hu3] where T_{μ_1}, T_{μ_2} is given by (0.10) with $\mu = \mu_1, \mu_2$ respectively. Then by [Hu3] $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}$ and $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_2}$ satisfy (0.8) with $\mu = \mu_1, \mu_2$ respectively and (0.9) with $\mu = \mu_1, \mu_2$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ for any $0 < a < b < T_{\mu_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Moreover $T_{\mu_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\, dx / 2\mu_1 > T_\mu > T_{\mu_2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\, dx / 2\mu_2$.

Since $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}$ satisfies (0.9) with $\mu = \mu_1$ and $\mu - \mu_1 > 0$, for any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T_\mu$ there exists $r_0 > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}^m}{mx} > -\mu_1 - \left(\frac{\mu - \mu_1}{2}\right) = -\frac{\mu + \mu_1}{2} \qquad \forall x \ge r_0,\ t_1 \le t \le t_2$$

and

$$\frac{\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}^m}{mx} < \mu_1 + \left(\frac{\mu - \mu_1}{2}\right) = \frac{\mu + \mu_1}{2} \qquad \forall x \le -r_0,\ t_1 \le t \le t_2.$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t) \ge \left(\frac{(\mu + \mu_1)}{2}|m||x|\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \forall |x| \ge r_0,\ t_1 \le t \le t_2.$$

Thus

$$\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(\pm R_k, t) \ge (\mu|m|R_k)^{\frac{1}{m}} = u_\varepsilon^{R_k,\mu}(\pm R_k, t) \quad \forall |x| = R_k > r_0, t_1 \le t \le t_2, 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Hence by (3.2) and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [DK] and Lemma 2.5 of [Hu3], for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $t_1 \le t' \le t \le t_2$,

$$\int_{|x| \le R_k} (u_\varepsilon^{R_k,\mu}(x,t) - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t))_+ \, dx \le \int_{|x| \le R_k} (u_\varepsilon^{R_k,\mu}(x,t') - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t'))_+ \, dx \tag{4.1}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (4.1),

$$\int_{|x| \le R_k} (u^{R_k,\mu}(x,t) - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t))_+ \, dx \le \int_{|x| \le R_k} (u^{R_k,\mu}(x,t') - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t'))_+ \, dx \tag{4.2}$$

Since $u^{R_k,\mu}$ satisfies (3.28) in $(I_{R_k} \setminus I_{R_0}) \times (0, T_\mu)$, letting $k \to \infty$ in (4.2) by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x,t) - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t))_+ \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x,t') - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t'))_+ \, dx \tag{4.3}$$

Letting $t' \to 0$ in (4.3),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x,t) - \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t))_+ \, dx \le 0 \quad \forall 0 < t < t_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Since t_2 is arbitrary,

$$u(x,t) \le \widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}(x,t) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 < t < T_\mu.$$

Similarly

$$u(x,t) \ge \widetilde{u}_{\mu_2}(x,t) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 < t < T_{\mu_2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\widetilde{u}^m_{\mu_2}(x,t)}{mx} \le \dfrac{u^m(x,t)}{mx} \le \dfrac{\widetilde{u}^m_{\mu_1}(x,t)}{mx} & \forall 0<t<T_{\mu_2}, x>0 \\ \dfrac{\widetilde{u}^m_{\mu_2}(x,t)}{mx} \ge \dfrac{u^m(x,t)}{mx} \ge \dfrac{\widetilde{u}^m_{\mu_1}(x,t)}{mx} & \forall 0<t<T_{\mu_2}, x<0. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Let $0<a<b<T_\mu$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We now choose $\mu_2>\mu$ sufficiently close to μ such that $T_{\mu_2}>b$ and $\max\{\mu_2-\mu,\mu-\mu_1\}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_1}$, $\widetilde{u}_{\mu_2}$, satisfies (0.9) with $\mu=\mu_1,\mu_2$, there exists $r_1>1$ such that $\forall x\ge r_1$, $a\le t\le b$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{u}^m_{\mu_1}(x,t)}{mx} < -\mu_1+\frac{\epsilon}{2} < -\mu+\epsilon \\ \frac{\tilde{u}^m_{\mu_2}(x,t)}{mx} > -\mu_2-\frac{\epsilon}{2} > -\mu-\epsilon \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

and $\forall x\le -r_1$, $a\le t\le b$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{u}^m_{\mu_1}(x,t)}{mx} > \mu_1-\frac{\epsilon}{2} > \mu-\epsilon \\ \frac{\tilde{u}^m_{\mu_2}(x,t)}{mx} < \mu_2+\frac{\epsilon}{2} < \mu+\epsilon. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7)

$$\left|\frac{u^m(x,t)}{m|x|}+\mu\right| < \varepsilon \quad \forall |x|\ge r_1, a\le t\le b.$$

Hence u satisfies (0.9) and the lemma follows. □

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose* $0\le u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0>0$, $R_0>1$, *and* $f=\sum_{i=1}^{i_0}\mu_i\chi_{I_i}$ *is a step function on* $[0,T)$ *where* $0=a_0<a_1<\cdots<a_{i_0}=T_0$ *is a partition of the interval* $[0,T_0]$, $I_i=[a_{i-1},a_i)$, $\mu_i\ge 0$ *for all* $i=1,2,\cdots,i_0$ *such that*

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{i_0}\mu_i(a_i-a_{i-1}) \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx.$$

Let u *be the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ *given by Lemma 4.1 which satisfies* (0.11) *where* T *is given by* (0.13). *Let* $j_0\in\{1,\dots,i_0\}$ *be such that* $a_{j_0-1}<T\le a_{j_0}$. *Then* u *satisfies* (0.12) *uniformly in* $[a,b]$ *for all* $a'_{i-1}<a<b<a'_i$ *with* $a'_i=a_i$ *for all* $i=1,2,\cdots,j_0-1$, *and* $a'_{j_0}=T$.

By Corollary 4.4, (3.25), and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.11 of [Hs2] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose* $0\le u_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0>0$, $R_0>1$, *and* $0\le f\in C([0,\infty))$. *If* u *is the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ *given by Lemma 4.2 which satisfies* (0.11), *then* u *satisfies* (0.12) *uniformly in* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0<a<b<T$.

Lemma 4.6 (cf. Theorem 1.12 in [Hs2])**.** *Suppose* $0 \le u_{0,1} \le u_{0,2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and* f_1, $f_2 \in C([0,\infty))$ *are such that* $f_1 > f_2$ *on* $[0,\infty)$*. If* u_1, u_2 *are the solutions of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ *with initial dates* $u_{0,1}$, $u_{0,2}$ *which satisfy* (0.11) *on* $(0,T)$ *with* $u_0 = u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$ *and* $f = f_1, f_2$*, respectively, and* (0.12) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *with* $f = f_1, f_2$*, respectively for any* $0 < a < b < T$*, then* $u_1 \le u_2$ *on* $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$*.*

Proof: Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ be such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$. For any $R > 0$, let $\varphi_R = \varphi(x/R)$. Then by the Kato inequality [K],

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,t)\varphi_R(x)\,dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{u_1^m}{m}-\frac{u_2^m}{m}\right)_+\varphi_{R,xx}(x)\,dx\\ &\le \frac{C}{R^2}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\left(\frac{u_1^m}{m}-\frac{u_2^m}{m}\right)_+dx \qquad 0<t<T.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Since $f_1 > f_2$ on $[0,\infty)$, there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f_1 - f_2 > \varepsilon$ on $[0,T]$. Let $0 < a < b < T$. Since both u_1 and u_2 satisfy (0.12) uniformly on $[a,b]$ with $f = f_1$, f_2, respectively. There exist a constant $r_0 > 1$ such that

$$\begin{cases}\frac{u_1^m(x,t)}{m|x|} < -f_1(t)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & \forall |x|\ge r_0,\ a\le t\le b\\ \frac{u_2^m(x,t)}{m|x|} > -f_2(t)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & \forall |x|\ge R_0,\ a\le t\le b\end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\frac{u_1^m(x,t)}{m}-\frac{u_2^m(x,t)}{m} < (f_2(t)-f_1(t)+\varepsilon)|x| < 0$$

for all $|x| \ge r_0$, $a \le t \le b$. By (4.8) we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,t)\varphi_R(x)\,dx \le 0 \qquad \forall R\ge r_0, a\le t\le b.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,b)\varphi_R(x)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,a)\varphi_R(x)\,dx\\ \Rightarrow\quad &\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,b)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,a)\,dx \qquad \text{as } R\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < a < b < T$. Letting $a \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}}(u_1-u_2)_+(x,b)\,dx \le 0 \qquad \forall 0<b<T\\ \Rightarrow\quad & u_1 \le u_2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,T)\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfy* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$, $0 \le f \in C([0,\infty))$, *and* T *be given by* (0.13). *Suppose* u *is the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *which satisfies* (0.11) *and* (0.12) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T$. *Let* $\{f_k\}_{k+1}^\infty \subset C([0,\infty))$ *be a sequence of functions such that* $f_k > f_{k+1} > f \ge 0$ *on* $[0,T]$ *for all* $k = 1,2,\cdots$, *and* $f_k \to f$ *in* $L^1([0,T])$ *as* $k \to \infty$. *For each* $k = 1,2,\cdots$, *let* u_k *be a solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T_k)$ *which satisfies* (0.11), (0.13), *with* f *and* T *being replaced by* f_k *and* T_k *and* (0.12) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T_k$. *Then* u_k *converges uniformly on every compact subset of* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *to* u *as* $k \to \infty$.

Proof: By Lemma 4.6,

$$u_k(x,t) \le u_{k+1}(x,t) \le u(x,t) \qquad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,T_k),\ k = 1,2,\cdots, \tag{4.9}$$

and by (0.11) T_k increases to T as $k \to \infty$. Hence for any $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ the equation (0.19) for the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \ge k_0}$ is uniformly parabolic on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T_{k_0})$. Hence by the standard Schauder estimates [LSU] the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \ge k_0}$ are equi-Hölder continuous on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T_{k_0})$. By the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence $\{u_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ that converge uniformly to some function v on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ as $i \to \infty$. Then by (4.9) the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to v on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ as $i \to \infty$. By (4.9),

$$v(x,t) \le u(x,t) \qquad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,T). \tag{4.10}$$

Now since u_k satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_k(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - 2\int_0^t f_k\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T_k,$$

letting $k \to \infty$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - 2\int_0^t f\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - 2\int_0^t f\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx \qquad 0 \le t < T. \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.10) and (4.11), $u = v$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ and the theorem follows. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let* $0 \le u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfy* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$, $0 \le f \in C([0,\infty))$, *and* T *be given by* (0.13). *Suppose* u *is the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *which satisfies* (0.11) *and* (0.12) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T$ *and* $\widetilde{u}$ *is the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *constructed in [Hu3] by Neumann approximation which also satisfies* (0.11) *and* (0.12) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T$. *Then* $u = \widetilde{u}$ *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$

Proof: We choose a sequence of functions $\{f_k\}_{k+1}^\infty \subset C([0,\infty))$ such that $f_k > f_{k+1} > f \geq 0$ on $[0,T]$ for all $k = 1,2,\cdots$, and $f_k \to f$ in $L^1([0,T])$ as $k \to \infty$. For each $k = 1,2,\cdots$, let u_k be a solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T_k)$ which satisfies (0.11), (0.13), with f and T being replaced by f_k and T_k and (0.12) uniformly on $[a,b]$ for any $0 < a < b < T_k$. Then by Theorem 4.7

$$u = \widetilde{u} = \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k$$

and the theorem follows. □

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Let $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $R_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. By Theorem 3.2 the sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that u^{R_k} converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ as $k \to \infty$ to a solution u of (0.2) which satisfies (0.8) where T is given by (0.10). By Lemma 4.3 u satisfies (0.9) uniformly on $[a,b]$ for any $0 < a < b < T$. By Theorem 4.8 u is independent of the choice of sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Hence u^R converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ to u as $R \to \infty$ and the theorem follows.

□

Theorem 4.9. *Let* $0 \leq u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfy* (3.2) *for some constants* $\mu_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 1$, f, $g \in C([0,\infty))$ *be such that* $f(t)$, $g(t) \geq \mu_0$ *on* $[0,\infty)$, *and* T *be given by* (0.18). *Let* v^R *be the solution of* (0.14). *Then* v^R *converges uniformly on every compact subset of* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *to a solution* u *of* (0.2) *which satisfies* (0.15), (0.16) *and* (0.17) *uniformly on* $[a,b]$ *for any* $0 < a < b < T$ *as* $R \to \infty$. *Moreover, the solution is the same as the solution of* (0.2) *in* $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T)$ *constructed in [Hu3] by Neumann approximation method.*

Proof: Let $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $R_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ and let $v_\epsilon^{R_k}$ be the solution of (0.14) with initial data $v_\epsilon^{R_k}(x,0) = u_0(x) + \epsilon$. Let $\mu = \max\left(\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}\right)$ and let $u_\epsilon^{R_k,\mu}$ be the solution of (0.7) with initial data $u_\epsilon^{R_k,\mu}(x,0) = u_0(x) + \epsilon$. Then, by maximum principle, we have

$$u_\epsilon^{R_k,\mu} \leq v_\epsilon^{R_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{R_k,\mu} \leq v^{R_k} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty), \qquad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

Let

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\, dx. \tag{4.12}$$

By Theorem 3.1, $u^{R_k,\mu}$ converges uniformly on any compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,T_0)$ as $\mathbb{R}_k \to \infty$ to a solution $\tilde{u}$ of (0.2) which satisfies (0.8) and (0.9) uniformly on $[a,b]$ for any $0 < a < b < T_0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$. Let K_1 be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$. Then there exist a constant $c_0 = c_0(K_1) > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{u} \geq c_0 > 0 \qquad \text{on } K_1.$$

Hence there exists a constants $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $C(K_1) > 0$ such that

$$v^{R_k} \geq u^{R_k,\mu} \geq C(K_1) > 0 \qquad k \geq k_0 >> 1.$$

Thus the sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded below by some positive constant on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$ for all k sufficiently large. Since the sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded from above by $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$, the equation (0.19) for the sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is uniformly parabolic on every compact subset $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$. Hence by the Schauder estimates for parabolic equations [LSU], the sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is equi-Hölder continuous on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$. Hence any sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with $R_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$ to a solution v of (0.19) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$ as $k \to \infty$. Since by (3.2), v^{R_k} satisfies (3.28) for $R_k \geq R_0$. By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2, v has initial value u_0. Hence v is a solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T_0)$.

It remains to show that v satisfies (0.15). For any $j = 1, 2, \cdots$, let $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2^j} \mu_i \chi_{I_i}$, $g_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2^j} \nu_i \chi_{I_i}$ where $\mu_i = \sup_{I_i} f + \frac{1}{j}$, $\nu_i = \sup_{I_i} g + \frac{1}{j}$, $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_i = iT/2^j$, for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2^j$.

We now consider the solution $v_j^{R_k}(x,t)$ of following Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} v_t = \left(\frac{v^m}{m}\right)_{xx} & I_{R_k} \times (0,\infty) \\ \left(\frac{v^m}{m}\right)_x (R_k, t) = -f_j & \forall 0 < t < T_{j,k} \\ \left(\frac{v^m}{m}\right)_x (-R_k, t) = g_j & \forall 0 < t < T_{j,k} \\ v(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } I_{R_k} \end{cases}$$

which satisfies

$$\frac{v_t}{v} \leq \frac{1}{(1-m)t} \qquad \text{in } I_R \times (0, T_{j,k})$$

and

$$\int_{-R_k}^{R_k} v(x,t)\, dx = \int_{-R_k}^{R_k} u_0\, dx - \int_0^t (f_j + g_j)\, ds \qquad \forall 0 \leq t < T_{j,k}$$

where $T_{j,k}$ is given by

$$\int_{-R_k}^{R_k} u_0\, dx = \int_0^{T_{j,k}} (f_j + g_j)\, ds.$$

Then, by Lemma 4.2 of [Hu3], the solution $v_j^{R_k}(x,t)$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges to the solution $v_j(x,t)$ of (0.2) uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T_0)$ as $k\to\infty$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v_j(x,t)\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx-\int_0^t (f_j+g_j)\,ds \qquad \forall 0\le t<T_j$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx=\int_0^{T_j}(f_j+g_j)\,ds.$$

Let $i_j\in\{1,2,\cdots,2^j\}$ such that $a_{i_j-1}<T_j\le a_{i_j}$. Then, by [Hu3], the solution v_j also satisfies, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$\frac{v_j^m(x,t)}{mx}\to-\mu_i \quad \text{uniformly on } [a_{i-1}+\epsilon,a_i-\epsilon] \quad \text{as } x\to\infty, \quad \forall i=1,\cdots,i_j-1$$

and

$$\frac{v_j^m(x,t)}{mx}\to\nu_i \quad \text{uniformly on } [a_{i-1}+\epsilon,a_i-\epsilon] \quad \text{as } x\to-\infty, \quad \forall i=1,\cdots,i_j-1.$$

Hence, for sufficiently large $R_k>>1$,

$$\begin{cases}\frac{v_j^m(R_k,t)}{mR_k}<-\sup_{I_i}f-\frac{1}{2j} & \forall t\in[a_{i-1}+\epsilon,a_i-\epsilon], \quad \forall i=1,\cdots,i_j-1\\ \frac{v_j^m(-R_k,t)}{m(-R_k)}>\sup_{I_i}g+\frac{1}{2j} & \forall t\in[a_{i-1}+\epsilon,a_i-\epsilon], \quad \forall i=1,\cdots,i_j-1.\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}v_j(R_k,t)<(|m|R_kf(t))^{\frac{1}{m}}=v^{R_k}(R_k,t)\\ v_j(-R_k,t)<(|m|R_kg(t))^{\frac{1}{m}}=v^{R_k}(-R_k,t)\end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

for any $t\in[a_{i-1}+\epsilon,a_i-\epsilon]$, $\forall i=1,\cdots,i_j-1$. Hence by (4.13) and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 of [DK] and Lemma 2.5 of [Hu3], for sufficiently large $R_k>>1$,

$$\int_{|x|\le R_k}(v_j-v^{R_k})_+(x,t_2)\,dx\le\int_{|x|\le R_k}(v_j-v^{R_k})_+(x,t_1)\,dx \tag{4.14}$$

for $a_{i-1}+\epsilon\le t_1\le t_2\le a_i-\epsilon,\ i=1,2,\cdots,i_j-1$.
Letting $k\to\infty$ in (4.14), by (3.28) and Lebesque Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j-v)_+(x,t_2)\,dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j-v)_+(x,t_1)\,dx$$

for any $a_{i-1}+\epsilon\le t_1\le t_2\le a_i-\epsilon$ and $t_2<T_0$. For $i=1,\cdots,i_j-1$, letting $\epsilon\to0$, $t_1\to a_{i-1}$ and taking t_2 arbitrary,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j-v)_+(x,t)\,dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j-v)_+(x,a_{i-1})\,dx \qquad \forall a_{i-1}\le t\le a_i,\ i=1,\cdots,i_j-1. \tag{4.15}$$

Similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j - v)_+(x,t)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j - v)_+(x,a_{i-1})\,dx \qquad \forall a_{i_j-1} \le t \le T_j. \tag{4.16}$$

Hence by (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j - v)_+(x,t)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}}(v_j - v)_+(x,0)\,dx = 0$$

for $0 < t < T_j$. $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$v_j \le v \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}\times(0,T_j') \quad \forall j = 1,2,\cdots,$$

where $T_j' = \min(T_0, T_j)$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_j(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\,dx - \int_0^t (f_j + g_j)\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T_j'.$$

Letting $j \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\,dx - \int_0^t (f+g)\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T_0.$$

Similarly, one can prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,t)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\,dx - \int_0^t (f+g)\,ds \qquad \forall 0 \le t < T_0.$$

Hence v satisfies (0.15) for any $t \in [0,T_0]$.

Let $\tilde{T}_0 \ge T_0$ be the maximal time such that $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we still denote by $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ that converges to a solution v of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\tilde{T}_0)$ which satisfies (0.15) for $0 \le t < \tilde{T}_0$ as $k \to \infty$. We claim that $\tilde{T}_0 = T$. Suppose not. Then $\tilde{T}_0 < T$. Hence by (0.15),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,\tilde{T}_0)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0\,dx - \int_0^{\tilde{T}_0} (f+g)\,ds > 0. \tag{4.17}$$

We will now choose a constant $T_0' < \tilde{T}_0$ sufficiently close to $\tilde{T}_0$. Let $u_1^{R,\mu}$ be the solution of (0.7) with initial value $v(x,T_0')$. By Theorem 3.1, $u_1^{R,\mu}$ converges uniformly on any compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\tilde{T})$ as $k \to \infty$ to the solution $\tilde{u}$ of (0.2) with $u_0(x) = v(x,T_0')$ where

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{1}{2\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x,T_0')\,dx.$$

Then by repeating the previous argument using $u_1^{R_k,\mu}$ as the comparison function, we get that $v^{R_k}(x,t+T_0')$ has a subsequence which we still denote by v^{R_k} such that

$v^{R_k}(x,t+T_0')$ converges to a solution $\tilde{v}$ of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\tilde{T})$ with $u_0(x)=v(x,T_0')$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}=\frac{1}{2\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}}v(x,T_0')\,dx&=\frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0\,dx-\int_0^{T_0'}(f+g)\,ds\right)\\&\ge\frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}u_0\,dx-\int_0^{\tilde{T}_0}(f+g)\,ds\right):=C_1>0.\end{aligned}$$

We extend v to a solution of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T_0'+\tilde{T})$ by setting $v(x,t)=\tilde{v}(x,t-T_0')$ for $T_0'\le t<T_0'+\tilde{T}$. We now choose $T_0'>0$ such that $T_0-\frac{C_1}{2}<T_0'<\tilde{T}_0$. Then

$$T_0'+\tilde{T}>T_0.$$

This contradicts the maximality of $\tilde{T}_0$. Therefore

$$\tilde{T}_0=T.$$

Hence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which we still denote by $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that v^{R_k} converges to a solution v of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ which satisfies (0.15) for $t\in(0,T)$ as $k\to\infty$.

By an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and the proof of Theorem 1.11 of [Hu2], u satisfies (0.16) and (0.17) for any $0<a<b<T$. Then by (0.16), (0.17) and the same argument as the proof of Theorem 4.8, u is equal to the solution $\tilde{u}$ of (0.2) in $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ constructed in [Hu3] by Neumann approximation method.

Since the sequence $\{v^{R_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary and the limit of the sequence $u=\tilde{u}$ is unique and independent of the sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, v^R converges uniformly to u every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}\times(0,T)$ as $R\to\infty$ and the theorem follows. □

References

[A] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures*, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[DDD] S.H. Davis, E. Dibenedetto, and D.J. Diller, *Some a priori estimates for a singular evolution equation arising in thin-film dynamics*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 27 (1996), no. 3, 638–660.

[DD] E. Dibenedetto and D.J. Diller, *About a singular parabolic equation arising in thin film dynamics and in the Ricci flow for complete* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Partial differential equations and applications, 103–119, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 177 , edited by P. Marcellini, Giorgio G.Talenti and E. Vesentini, Dekker, New York, 1996.

[DK] B.E.J. Dahlberg and C. Kenig, *Non-negative solutions of generalized porous medium equations*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 2 (1986), 267–305.

[DP] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A.Del Pino, *On a singular diffusion equation,* Comm. in Analysis and Geometry 3 (1995), no. 3, 523-542.

[Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Large time behaviour of solutions of the Ricci flow equation on* R^2, Pacific J. Math. 197 (2001), no. 1, 25–41.

[Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Uniqueness of Solutions of a Singular Diffusion Equation,* Differential and Integral Equations 16 (2003), no. 2, 181-200.

[Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Classification of Radially Symmetric Self-similar Solutions of* $u_t = \triangle \log u$ *in Higher Dimensions,* Differential and Integral Equations 18 (2005), no. 10, 1175-1192.

[Hu1] K.M. Hui, *Existence of solutions of the equation* $u_t = \Delta \log u$, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 37 (1999), no. 7, 875–914.

[Hu2] K.M. Hui, *On some Dirichlet and Cauchy problems for a singular diffusion equation.* Differential and Integral Equations, 15 (2002), 769-804.

[Hu3] K.M. Hui, *Existence of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation in bounded and unbounded domain.* Math. Ann. 339 (2007), 395-443.

[Hu4] K.M. Hui, *Singular limit of solutions of the very fast diffusion equation.* Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), no. 5, 1120–1147.

[K] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1973), 135–148.

[Ku] T.G. Kurtz, *Convergence of sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators with an application to gas kinetics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1973), 259–272.

[LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltceva *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type,* Transl. Math. Mono., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.

[LT] P.L. Lions and G. Toscani, *Diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana 13 (1997), no. 3, 473–513.

[P] L.A. Peletier, *The porous medium equation* in Applications of Nonlinear Analysis in the Physical Sciences, H. Amann, N. Bazley, K. Kirchgassner editors, Pitman, Boston, 1981.

[ERV] A. Rodriguez, J.R.Esteban and J.L. Vazquez, *A nonlinear heat equation with singular diffusivity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Analy. 103 (1988), 985–1039.

[RV] A. Rodriguez and J.L. Vazquez, *A well posed problem in singular Fickian diffusion*, Arch. Rational Mech. Analy. 110 (1990), 141–163.

[R] G. Rosen, *Nonlinear heat conduction in solid H_2*, Physical Review B 19 (1979), 2398–2399.

[V1] J.L. Vazquez, *Nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear heat equations of fast-diffusion type*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 71 (1992), 503–526.

[V2] J.L. Vazquez, *The porous medium equation Mathematical Theory*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2007.

[W1] L. F. Wu, *The Ricci flow on complete R^2*, Comm. in Analysis and Geometry 1 (1993), 439–472.

[W2] L. F. Wu, *A new result for the porous medium equation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1993), 90–94.